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## Classification of data profiling tasks

[Abedjan, Golab, Naumann; SIGMOD 2017]

|  | A | B | c | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | UID | sex | race | MarriageSta | DateOfBirth | age | juv_fel_cour | le_score |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4/18/47 | 69 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1/22/82 | 34 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5/14/91 | 24 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1/21/93 | 23 | 0 | 8 |
| 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1/22/73 | 43 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8/22/71 | 44 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7/23/74 | 41 | 0 | 6 |
| 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2/25/73 | 43 | 0 | 4 |
| 10 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6/10/94 | 21 | 0 | 3 |
| 11 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6/1/88 | 27 | 0 | 4 |
| 12 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 8/22/78 | 37 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 12/2/74 | 41 | 0 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6/14/68 | 47 | 0 | 1 |
| 15 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3/25/85 | 31 | 0 | 3 |
| 16 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1/25/79 | 37 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6/22/90 | 25 | 0 | 10 |
| 18 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 12/24/84 | 31 | 0 | 5 |
| 19 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1/8/85 | 31 | 0 | 3 |
| 20 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6/28/51 | 64 | 0 | 6 |
| 21 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11/29/94 | 21 | 0 | 9 |
| 22 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8/6/88 | 27 | 0 | 2 |
| 23 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3/22/95 | 21 | 0 | 4 |
| 24 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1/23/92 | 24 | 0 | 4 |
| 25 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1/10/73 | 43 | 0 | 1 |
| 26 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8/24/83 | 32 | 0 | 3 |
| 27 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2/8/89 | 27 | 0 | 3 |
| 28 | 27 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 9/3/79 | 36 | 0 | 3 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  | 4/27/on | $\cdots$ |  | 7 |

relational data (here: just one table)


## Discovering uniques

Given a relation schema $\boldsymbol{R}(A, B, C, D)$ and a relation instance $\boldsymbol{r}$, a unique column combination (or a "unique" for short) is a set of attributes $\boldsymbol{X}$ whose projection contains no duplicates in $\boldsymbol{r}$

| Episodes(season,num,title, viewers) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| season | num | title | viewers |
| 1 | 1 | Winter is Coming | 2.2 M |
| 1 | 2 | The Kingsroad | 2.2 M |
| 2 | 1 | The North Remembers | 3.9 M |

Projection is a relational algebra operation that takes as input relation $\boldsymbol{R}$ and returns a new relation $\boldsymbol{R}$ ' with a subset of the columns of $\boldsymbol{R}$.
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## Discovering uniques

Given a relation schema $\boldsymbol{R}(A, B, C, D)$ and a relation instance $\boldsymbol{r}$, a unique column combination (or a "unique" for short) is a set of attributes $\boldsymbol{X}$ whose projection contains no duplicates in $\boldsymbol{r}$

| Episodes(season, num, title, viewers) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| season | num | title | viewers |
| 1 | 1 | Winter is Coming | 2.2 M |
| 1 | 2 | The Kingsroad | 2.2 M |
| 2 | 1 | The North Remembers | 3.9 M |

Projection is a relational algebra operation that takes as input relation $\boldsymbol{R}$ and returns a new relation $\boldsymbol{R}$ ' with a subset of the columns of $\boldsymbol{R}$.

- Recall that more than one set of attributes $\mathbf{X}$ may be unique
- It may be the case that $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ are both unique, and that they are not disjoint. When is this interesting?


## Discovering uniques

$R(A, B, C, D)$ attribute lattice of $\boldsymbol{R}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{4}{4}=1 \\
& \binom{4}{3}=4 \\
& \binom{4}{2}=6 \\
& \binom{4}{1}=4
\end{aligned}
$$

What's the size of the attribute lattice of $\boldsymbol{R}$ ?
Look at all attribute combinations?

## Discovering uniques

R (A, B, C, D) attribute lattice of $R$


- If $\mathbf{X}$ is unique, then what can we say about its superset $\mathbf{Y}$ ?
- If $\mathbf{X}$ is non-unique, then what can we say about its subset $\mathbf{Z}$ ?


## Discovering uniques

Given a relation schema $\boldsymbol{R}(A, B, C, D)$ and a relation instance $\boldsymbol{r}$, a unique column combination (or a "unique" for short) is a set of attributes $\boldsymbol{X}$ whose projection contains no duplicates in $\boldsymbol{r}$

Given a relation schema $\boldsymbol{R}(A, B, C, D)$ and a relation instance $\boldsymbol{r}$, a set of attributes $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is non-unique if its projection contains duplicates in $\boldsymbol{r}$
$\boldsymbol{X}$ is minimal unique if every subset $\boldsymbol{Y}$ of $\boldsymbol{X}$ is non-unique
$\boldsymbol{Y}$ is maximal non-unique if every superset $\boldsymbol{X}$ of $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is unique


## From uniques to candidate keys

Given a relation schema $\boldsymbol{R}(A, B, C, D)$ and a relation instance $\boldsymbol{r}$, a unique column combination is a set of attributes $\boldsymbol{X}$ whose projection contains no duplicates in $\boldsymbol{r}$

| Episodes(season, num, title, viewers) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| season | num | title | viewers |
| 1 | 1 | Winter is Coming | 2.2 M |
| 1 | 2 | The Kingsroad | 2.2 M |
| 2 | 1 | The North Remembers | 3.9 M |

> A set of attributes is a candidate key for a relation if:
> (1) no two distinct tuples can have the same values for all key attributes (candidate key uniquely identifies a tuple), and
> (2) this is not true for any subset of the key attributes (candidate key is minimal)

A minimal unique of a relation instance is a (possible) candidate key of the relation schema. To find all possible candidate keys, find all minimal uniques in a relation instance.

## association rule mining

## The early days of data mining

- Problem formulation due to Agrawal, Imielinski, Swami, SIGMOD 1993
- Solution: the Apriori algorithm by Agrawal \& Srikant, VLDB 1994
- Initially for market-basket data analysis, has many other applications, we'll see one today
- We wish to answer two related questions:
- Frequent itemsets: Which items are often purchased together, e.g., milk and cookies are often bought together
- Association rules: Which items will likely be purchased, based on other purchased items, e.g., if diapers are bought in a transaction, beer is also likely bought in the same transaction


## Market-basket data

- $\boldsymbol{I}=\left\{\boldsymbol{i}_{1}, \boldsymbol{i}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right\}$ is the set of available items, e.g., a product catalog of a store
- $\boldsymbol{X} \subseteq I$ is an itemset, e.g., $\{$ milk, bread, cereal\}
- Transaction $\boldsymbol{t}$ is a set of items purchased together, $\boldsymbol{t} \subseteq \boldsymbol{I}$, has a transaction id (TID)

$$
\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{1}}:\{\text { bread, cheese, milk }\}
$$

$\boldsymbol{t}_{2}$ : \{apple, eggs, salt, yogurt\}
$\boldsymbol{t}_{3}$ : \{biscuit, cheese, eggs, milk\}

- Database $\boldsymbol{T}$ is a set of transactions $\left\{\boldsymbol{t}_{1}, \boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{2}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{t}_{n}\right\}$
- A transaction $\boldsymbol{t}$ supports an itemset $\boldsymbol{X}$ if $\boldsymbol{X} \subseteq \boldsymbol{t}$
- Itemsets supported by at least minSupp transactions are called frequent itemsets
minSupp, which can be a number or a percentage, is specified by the user


## Itemsets

| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A |
| 2 | A C |
| 3 | A B D |
| 4 | A C |
| 5 | A B C |
| 6 | A B C |

minSupp $=2$ transactions

How many possible itemsets are there (excluding the empty itemset)?

$$
2^{4}-1=15
$$

| itemset | support |
| :---: | :---: |
| + A | 6 |
| + B | 3 |
| * C | 4 |
| D | 1 |
| * $A B$ | 3 |
| - $A C$ | 4 |
| AD | 1 |
| + BC | 2 |
| B D | 1 |
| $C D$ | 0 |
| * ABC | 2 |
| ABD | 1 |
| B C D | 0 |
| ACD | 0 |
| ABCD | 0 |

## Association rules

An association rule is an implication $X \rightarrow Y$, where $X, Y \subset I$, and $X \cap Y=$ example: $\{$ milk, bread $\} \rightarrow\{$ cereal $\}$
"A customer who purchased $X$ is also likely to have purchased $Y$ in the same transaction"
we are interested in rules with a single item in $Y$
can we represent \{milk, bread\} $\rightarrow$ \{cereal, cheese $\}$ ?

Rule $X \rightarrow Y$ holds with support supp in $T$ if supp of transactions contain $X \cup Y$

Rule $X \rightarrow Y$ holds with confidence conf in T if conf \% of transactions that contain $X$ also contain $Y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{conf} \approx \operatorname{Pr}(Y \mid X) \\
& \operatorname{conf}(X \rightarrow Y)=\operatorname{supp}(X \cup Y) / \operatorname{supp}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Association rules

minSupp $=2$ transactions $\boldsymbol{m i n C o n f}=0.75$
itemset

$$
\text { supp }=3
$$

$$
A \rightarrow B \quad \operatorname{conf}=3 / 6=0.5
$$

$$
B \rightarrow A \quad \text { conf }=3 / 3=1.0 \text { t }
$$

$$
\text { supp = } 2
$$

$$
B \rightarrow C \quad \text { conf }=2 / 3=0.67
$$

$$
C \rightarrow B \quad \text { conf }=2 / 4=0.5
$$

$$
\text { supp }=4
$$

$$
A \rightarrow C \quad \operatorname{conf}=4 / 6=0.67
$$

$$
C \rightarrow A \quad \operatorname{conf}=4 / 4=1.0\rangle
$$

$$
\text { supp }=2
$$

$$
A B \rightarrow C \quad \text { conf }=2 / 3=0.67
$$

$$
A C \rightarrow B \quad \operatorname{conf}=2 / 4=0.5
$$

$$
B C \rightarrow A \quad \operatorname{conf}=2 / 2=1.0
$$

| itemset | support |
| :---: | :---: |
| * A | 6 |
| + B | 3 |
| * C | 4 |
| D | 1 |
| * $A B$ | 3 |
| * AC | 4 |
| AD | 1 |
| - BC | 2 |
| B D | 1 |
| $C D$ | 0 |
| * $A B C$ | 2 |
| ABD | 1 |
| B C D | 0 |
| ACD | 0 |
| $\rightarrow Y) \stackrel{\mathrm{ABCD}}{=} \text { supp }$ | $\text { Y) } 1 / \text { sup }$ |

## Association rule mining

- Goal: find all association rules that satisfy the userspecified minimum support and minimum confidence
- Algorithm outline
- Step 1: find all frequent itemsets
- Step 2: find association rules
- Take 1: naïve algorithm for frequent itemset mining
- Enumerate all subsets of $\boldsymbol{I}$, check their support in $\boldsymbol{T}$
- What is the complexity?


## Key idea: downward closure

| itemset | support |
| :---: | :---: |
| A A | 6 |
| B | 3 |
| C A B | 4 |
| A C | 1 |
| A D | 3 |
| B C | 1 |
| C D | 2 |
| A B C | 1 |
| A B D | 0 |
| B C D | 2 |
| A C D | 1 |
| A B C D | 0 |

All subsets of a frequent itemset $\boldsymbol{X}$ are themselves frequent

So, if some subset of $X$ is infrequent, then $X$ cannot be frequent, we know this apriori


The converse is not true! If all subsets of $\boldsymbol{X}$ are frequent, $\boldsymbol{X}$ is not guaranteed to be frequent

## The Apriori algorithm

Algorithm Apriori(T, minSupp)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\{\text { frequent 1-itemsets }\} ; \\
& \text { for }\left(k=2 ; F_{\mathrm{k}-1} \neq \varnothing ; k++\right) \text { do } \\
& \quad C_{k} \leftarrow \text { candidate-gen }\left(F_{k-1}\right) ; \\
& \text { for each transaction } t \in T \text { do } \\
& \text { for each candidate } c \in C_{k} \text { do } \\
& \text { if } c \text { is contained in } t \text { then } \\
& \quad c . \text { count }++; \\
& \text { end } \\
& \text { end } \\
& F_{k} \leftarrow\left\{c \in C_{k} \mid c . c o u n t \geq \text { minSupp }\right\} \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

| itemset | support |
| :---: | :---: |
| * A | 6 |
| + ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 3 |
| * C | 4 |
| D | 1 |
| * AB | 3 |
| * $A C$ | 4 |
| A D | 1 |
| * $B C$ | 2 |
| B D | 1 |
| $C D$ | 0 |
| $\star$ ABC | 2 |
| ABD | 1 |
| $B C D$ | 0 |
| ACD | 0 |
| A B C D | 0 |

itemset
A
B 3
C 4
*
$\star$
-
return $F \leftarrow \bigcup_{k} F_{k}$;

## Candidate generation

The candidate-gen function takes $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ and returns a superset (called the candidates) of the set of all frequent k-itemsets. It has two steps:

Join: generate all possible candidate itemsets $C_{k}$ of length $k$
Prune: optionally remove those candidates in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ that have infrequent subsets


## Candidate generation: join

Insert into $C_{k}$ C
select p.item, p.item ${ }_{2}$,..., p.item $m_{k-1}$, q. item $_{k-1}$

| from | $F_{k-1} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{q}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| where | p. item $_{1}=\mathrm{q} \cdot$ item $_{1}$ |
| and | p. item $=$ q.item |
| and | $\ldots$ |
| and | p.item |
|  |  |

$\mathrm{F}_{1}$ as p

| $\mathbf{A}$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}$ |


| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ as q |
| :--- |
| A <br> B <br> C |


| $C_{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ |

itemset
A

$\star$ AC 4
AD 1


| $\star$ ABC | 2 |
| :---: | :--- |
| ABD | 1 |
| BCD | 0 |
| ACD | 0 |
| ABCD | 0 |

## Candidate generation: join



## Candidate generation

Assume a lexicographic ordering of the items
Join
Insert into $C_{k}$ (
select p.item, p.item, ..., p.item ${ }_{k-1}$, q.item ${ }_{k-1}$
from $\quad F_{k-1} p, F_{k-1} q$
where p.item ${ }_{1}=$ q. item $_{1}$
and $\quad$ p. item $_{2}=$ q. item $_{2}$
and
and $\quad$ p. item $_{k-1}<$ q. item $_{k-1}$ ) why not p.item ${ }_{k-1} \neq$ q.item ${ }_{k-1}$ ?

## Prune

for each c in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ do
for each ( $k-1$ ) subset $s$ of $c$ do if ( $s$ not in $F_{k-1}$ ) then delete c from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$

## Generating association rules

Rules $=\varnothing$
for each frequent $k$-itemset X do
for each 1-itemset $A \subset X$ do
compute $\operatorname{conf}(X / A \rightarrow A)=\operatorname{supp}(X) / \sup (X / A)$
if conf $(X / A \rightarrow A) \geq$ minConf then Rules $\leftarrow " X / A \rightarrow A$ "
end
end
end
return Rules

## Performance of Apriori

- The possible number of frequent itemsets is exponential, $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathbf{2}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{m}$ is the number of items
- Apriori exploits sparseness and locality of data
- Still, it may produce a large number of rules: thousands, tens of thousands, ....
- So, thresholds should be set carefully. What are some good heuristics?


## Back to data profiling: Discovering uniques

Given a relation schema $\boldsymbol{R}(A, B, C, D)$ and a relation instance $\boldsymbol{r}$, a unique column combination (or a "unique" for short) is a set of attributes $\boldsymbol{X}$ whose projection contains no duplicates in $\boldsymbol{r}$

Given a relation schema $\boldsymbol{R}(A, B, C, D)$ and a relation instance $\boldsymbol{r}$, a set of attributes $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is non-unique if its projection contains duplicates in $\boldsymbol{r}$
$\boldsymbol{X}$ is minimal unique if every subset $\boldsymbol{Y}$ of $\boldsymbol{X}$ is non-unique
$\boldsymbol{Y}$ is maximal non-unique if every superset $\boldsymbol{X}$ of $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is unique

[Abedjan, Golab, Naumann; SIGMOD 2017]

## Output



## From uniques to candidate keys

Given a relation schema $\boldsymbol{R}(A, B, C, D)$ and a relation instance $\boldsymbol{r}$, a unique column combination is a set of attributes $\boldsymbol{X}$ whose projection contains no duplicates in $\boldsymbol{r}$

| Episodes (season, num,title, viewers) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| season | num | title | viewers |
| 1 | 1 | Winter is Coming | 2.2 M |
| 1 | 2 | The Kingsroad | 2.2 M |
| 2 | 1 | The North Remembers | 3.9 M |

A set of attributes is a candidate key for a relation if:
(1) no two distinct tuples can have the value values for all key attributes
(candidate key uniquely identifies a tuple), and
(2) this is not true for any subset of the key attributes (candidate key is minimal)

A minimal unique of a relation instance is a (possible) candidate key of the relation schema. To find such possible candidate keys, find all minimal uniques in a given relation instance.

## Apriori-style uniques discovery

[Abedjan, Golab, Naumann; SIGMOD 2017]
A minimal unique of a relation instance is a (possible) candidate key of the relation schema.
Algorithm Uniques // sketch, similar to HCA

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
U_{1}=\{1 \text {-uniques }\} \quad N_{1}=\{1 \text {-non-uniques }\} \\
\text { for }\left(k=2 ; N_{\mathrm{k}-1} \neq \varnothing ; k++\right) \text { do } \\
C_{k}
\end{array} \leftarrow \text { candidate-gen }\left(N_{k-1}\right) \\
& U_{k} \leftarrow \text { prune-then-check }\left(C_{k}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad / / \text { prune candidates with unique sub-sets, and with value distributions } \\
& \text { that cannot be unique } \\
& \quad / / \text { check each candidate in pruned set for uniqueness } \\
& \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
N_{k} \quad C_{k} \backslash U_{k}
\end{array} \\
& \text { end } \quad \text { return } U \leftarrow U_{k} U_{k} ; \quad \text { breadth-first bottom-up strategy for attribute lattice traver }
\end{aligned}
$$

