DS-GA 3001.009: Responsible Data Science

Introduction and Overview. Algorithmic Fairness.

Prof. Julia Stoyanovich Center for Data Science Computer Science and Engineering at Tandon

@stoyanoj

<u>http://stoyanovich.org/</u> <u>https://dataresponsibly.github.io/</u> <u>https://dataresponsibly.github.io/courses/spring20/</u>



Instructor

Julia Stoyanovich @stoyanoj

- Assistant Professor of Data Science at CSE and CDS
- PhD in Computer Science from Columbia University
- BS in Computer Science & Math from UMass Amherst
- worked in start-ups between college and graduate school



Research: data and knowledge management (aka "databases")

- **Responsible Data Science:** ethics, legal compliance through the DS lifecycle
- **Portal**: querying and analysis of evolving graphs
- **DB4Pref**: preference data management, computational social choice

Office hours: Mondays 1:30-3pm and by appointment at CDS (60 5th Avenue), room 703



Course staff

Brina Seidel, section leader

Office hours: Thursdays 3:30-4:30pm and by appointment, at CDS (60 5th Avenue), **room 660**



Prasanthi Gurumurthi, grader

Office hours: Wednesdays 10:30-11:30am and by appointment, at CDS (60 5th Avenue), **room 665**



Course logistics

Website: https://dataresponsibly.github.io/courses/spring20/

Assigned readings are from a variety of sources. Best to read before class. Let me know how you find them!

Grading: labs - 10% (attend 10 labs in person for full credit) homeworks - 10% x 3 = 30% project - 30% final - 30%

No credit for late homeworks. 2 late days over the term, no questions asked. If a homework is submitted late — a day is used in full.





The power of data science

Power

unprecedented data collection capabilities

enormous computational power

ubiquity and broad acceptance

Opportunity

improve people's lives, e.g., recommendation accelerate scientific discovery, e.g., medicine boost innovation, e.g., autonomous cars transform society, e.g., open government optimize business, e.g., advertisement targeting





Example: personalized medicine

Analysis of a person's medical data, genome, social data

personalized medicine

personalized care and predictive measures

personalized insurance

expensive, or unaffordable, for those at risk

the same technology makes both possible!



Online price discrimination

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

WHAT THEY KNOW

Websites Vary Prices, Deals Based on Users' Information

By JENNIFER VALENTINO-DEVRIES, JEREMY SINGER-VINE and ASHKAN SOLTANI December 24, 2012

It was the same Swingline stapler, on the same Staples.com website. But for Kim Wamble, the price was \$15.79, while the price on Trude Frizzell's screen, just a few miles away, was \$14.29.

A key difference: where Staples seemed to think they were located.

WHAT PRICE WOULD YOU SEE?



lower prices offered to buyers who live in more affluent neighborhoods

https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424127887323777204578189391813881534



Amazon same-day delivery

Bloomberg

Amazon Doesn't Consider the Race of Its Customers. Should It?

"... In six major same-day delivery cities, however, **the service area excludes predominantly black ZIP codes** to varying degrees, according to a Bloomberg analysis that compared Amazon same-day delivery areas with U.S. Census Bureau data."

https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2016-amazon-same-day/





Julia Stoyanovich

Amazon same-day delivery

Bloomberg

Amazon Doesn't Consider the Race of Its Customers. Should It?

"The most striking gap in Amazon's same-day service is in Boston, where **three ZIP codes encompassing the primarily black neighborhood of Roxbury are excluded** from sameday service, while the neighborhoods that surround it on all sides are eligible."



https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2016-amazon-same-day/



Julia Stoyanovich

Online job ads

theguardian

Samuel Gibbs

Wednesday 8 July 2015 11.29 BST

Women less likely to be shown ads for high-paid jobs on Google, study shows

Automated testing and analysis of company's advertising system reveals male job seekers are shown far more adverts for high-paying executive jobs



One experiment showed that Google displayed adverts for a career coaching service for executive jobs 1,852 times to the male group and only 318 times to the female group. Photograph: Alamy

The AdFisher tool simulated job seekers that did not differ in browsing behavior, preferences or demographic characteristics, except in gender.

One experiment showed that Google displayed ads for a career coaching service for "\$200k+" executive jobs **1,852 times to the male group and only 318 times to the female group**. Another experiment, in July 2014, showed a similar trend but was not statistically significant.

https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2015/jul/08/women-less-likely-ads-high-paid-jobs-google-study



Job-screening personality tests

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

By LAUREN WEBER and ELIZABETH DWOSKIN

Sept. 29, 2014 10:30 p.m. ET

Are Workplace Personality Tests Fair?

Growing Use of Tests Sparks Scrutiny Amid Questions of Effectiveness and Workplace Discrimination



Kyle Behm accused Kroger and six other companies of discrimination against the mentally ill through their use of personality tests. TROY STAINS FOR THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

The Equal Employment Opportunity commission is **investigating whether personality tests discriminate against people with disabilities**.

As part of the investigation, officials are trying to determine if the tests **shut out people suffering from mental illnesses** such as depression or bipolar disorder, even if they have the right skills for the job.

http://www.wsj.com/articles/are-workplace-personality-tests-fair-1412044257



Gender bias in recruiting



Jeffrey Dastin

BUSINESS NEWS OCTOBER 9, 2018 / 11:12 PM / 6 MONTHS AGO

Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women

"In effect, Amazon's system taught itself that male candidates were preferable. It penalized resumes that included the word "women's," as in "women's chess club captain." And it downgraded graduates of two all-women's colleges, according to people familiar with the matter. They did not specify the names of the schools."

GLOBAL HEADCOUNT

Male Female



EMPLOYEES IN TECHNICAL ROLES



"Note: Amazon does not disclose the gender breakdown of its technical workforce."

https://www.reuters.com/article/us-amazon-com-jobs-automation-insight/amazon-scraps-secret-ai-recruiting-tool-thatshowed-bias-against-women-idUSKCN1MK08G



Job screening: before AI

Are Emily and Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination

Marianne Bertrand

Sendhil Mullainathan

AMERICAN ECONOMIC REVIEW VOL. 94, NO. 4, SEPTEMBER 2004 (pp. 991-1013)

> We study race in the labor market by sending fictitious resumes to help-wanted ads in Boston and Chicago newspapers. To manipulate perceived race, resumes are randomly assigned African-American- or White-sounding names. White names receive 50 percent more callbacks for interviews. Callbacks are also more responsive to resume quality for White names than for African-American ones. The racial gap is uniform across occupation, industry, and employer size. We also find little evidence that employers are inferring social class from the names. Differential treatment by race still appears to still be prominent in the U.S. labor market. (JEL J71, J64).





Job screening: AI?



17 MAY 2017 RESEARCH & IDEAS

Minorities Who 'Whiten' Job Resumes Get More Interviews

by Dina Gerdeman

African American and Asian job applicants who mask their race on resumes seem to have better success getting job interviews, according to research by **Katherine DeCelles** and colleagues.





Job screening: AI?



Blacks get more job interview callbacks when they "whiten" their resumes. Graphic by Blair Storie-Johnson (*Source: "Whitened Resumes: Race and Self-Presentation in the Labor Market"*)

NYU

Racially identifying names

Ads by Google

Latanya Sweeney, Arrested?

1) Enter Name and State. 2) Access Full Background Checks Instantly.

Latanya Sweeney

Public Records Found For: Latanya www.publicrecords.com/

La Tanya

Search for La Tanya Look Up Fast R

Racism is Poisoning Online Ad Delivery, Says Harvard Professor

Google searches involving black-sounding names are more likely to serve up ads suggestive of a criminal record than white-sounding names, says computer scientist



racially identifying names trigger ads suggestive of a criminal record

https://www.technologyreview.com/s/510646/racism-is-poisoning-online-ad-delivery-says-harvard-professor/

Google

AdSense

A slight detour: Criminal sentencing

Machine Bias

There's software used across the country to predict future criminals. And it's biased against blacks.

by Julia Angwin, Jeff Larson, Surya Mattu and Lauren Kirchner, ProPublica May 23, 2016 A commercial tool COMPAS automatically predicts some categories of future crime to assist in bail and sentencing decisions. It is used in courts in the US.



The tool correctly predicts recidivism 61% of the time.

Blacks are almost twice as likely as whites to be labeled a higher risk but not actually re-offend.

The tool makes **the opposite mistake among whites**: They are much more likely than blacks to be labeled lower risk but go on to commit other crimes.

https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing



Racial bias in criminal sentencing

Machine Bias

There's software used across the country to predict future criminals. And it's biased against blacks.

by Julia Angwin, Jeff Larson, Surya Mattu and Lauren Kirchner, ProPublica May 23, 2016 A commercial tool **COMPAS** automatically predicts some categories of future crime to assist in bail and sentencing decisions. It is used in courts in the US.

Prediction Fails Differently for Black Defendants

	WHITE	AFRICAN AMERICAN
Labeled Higher Risk, But Didn't Re-Offend	23.5%	44.9%
Labeled Lower Risk, Yet Did Re-Offend	47.7%	28.0%

Overall, Northpointe's assessment tool correctly predicts recidivism 61 percent of the time. But blacks are almost twice as likely as whites to be labeled a higher risk but not actually re-offend. It makes the opposite mistake among whites: They are much more likely than blacks to be labeled lower risk but go on to commit other crimes. (Source: ProPublica analysis of data from Broward County, Fla.)

https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing



Racial bias in health-care algorithms

Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations

Ziad Obermeyer^{1,2,*}, **Brian Powers**³, **Christine Vogeli**⁴, **Sendhil Mullainathan**^{5,*,†} + See all authors and affiliations



Science 25 Oct 2019: Vol. 366, Issue 6464, pp. 447-453 DOI: 10.1126/science.aax2342

Health systems rely on commercial prediction algorithms to identify and help patients with complex health needs. We show that a widely used algorithm, typical of this industry-wide approach and affecting millions of patients, exhibits significant racial bias: At a given risk score, Black patients are considerably sicker than White patients, as evidenced by signs of uncontrolled illnesses. Remedying this disparity would increase the percentage of Black patients receiving additional help from 17.7 to 46.5%. The bias arises because the algorithm predicts health care costs rather than illness, but unequal access to care means that we spend less money caring for Black patients than for White patients. Thus, despite health care cost appearing to be an effective proxy for health by some measures of predictive accuracy, large racial biases arise. We suggest that the choice of convenient, seemingly effective proxies for ground truth can be an important source of algorithmic bias in many contexts.



Racial bias in health-care algorithms

The New York Times

By Sendhil Mullainathan

ECONOMIC VIEW

Dec. 6, 2019

Biased Algorithms Are Easier to Fix Than Biased People

Racial discrimination by algorithms or by people is harmful — but that's where the similarities end.



In one study published 15 years ago, **two people applied for a job**. Their résumés were about as similar as two résumés can be. One person was named Jamal, the other Brendan.

In a study published this year, **two patients sought medical care**. Both were grappling with diabetes and high blood pressure. One patient was black, the other was white.

Both studies documented **racial injustice**: In the first, the applicant with a black-sounding name got fewer job interviews. In the second, the black patient received worse care.

But they differed in one crucial respect. In the first, hiring managers made biased decisions. In the second, the culprit was a computer program.

Tim Cook

https://www.nytimes.com/2019/12/06/business/algorithm-bias-fix.html



Fixing bias in algorithms?

The New York Times

Biased Algorithms Are Easier to Fix Than Biased People

Racial discrimination by algorithms or by people is harmful — but that's where the similarities end.



Tim Cook

By Sendhil Mullainathan

Dec. 6, 2019Changing algorithms is easier than changingDec. 6, 2019people: software on computers can be
updated; the "wetware" in our brains has so far
proven much less pliable.

[...] In a 2018 paper [...], I took a cautiously optimistic perspective and argued that with proper regulation, algorithms can help to reduce discrimination.

But the key phrase here is "proper regulation," which we do not currently have.

We must ensure all the necessary inputs to the algorithm, including the data used to test and create it, are carefully stored. * [...] We will need a well-funded regulatory agency with highly trained auditors to process this data.

* my 2 cents: https://ai.shorensteincenter.org/ideas/2018/11/26/follow-the-data-algorithmic-transparency-starts-with-data-transparency

Julia Stoyanovich





Data, responsibly

Because of its power, data science must be used responsibly



... with a holistic view of the lifecycle



What's "Responsible Data Science"?

As advertised: ethics, legal compliance, personal responsibility. But also: **data quality**!

- A technical course, with content drawn from:
 - 1. data engineering
 - 2. security and privacy
 - 3. fairness, accountability and transparency

We will learn **algorithmic techniques** for data analysis. We will also learn about recent **laws** / **regulatory frameworks**.

Bottom line: we will learn that many of the problems are **socio-technical**, and so cannot be "solved" with technology alone.

My perspective: a pragmatic engineer, **not** a technology skeptic.



Is data science impartial?

Data science is algorithmic, therefore it cannot be biased! And yet...

- All traditional evils of discrimination, and many new ones, exhibit themselves in the data science eco system
- **Bias** that is inherent in the data or in the process, and that is often due to systemic discrimination, is propelled and amplified
- Transparency helps prevent discrimination, enable public debate, establish trust
- Technology alone won't do: also need policy, user involvement and education



en-gb/Pages/Protected-characteristicsand-the-perception-reality-gap.aspx











Fairness in ML





Julia Stoyanovich

Fairness is lack of "bias"

- What are the tasks we are interested in?
 - for now, let's say: predictive analytics
- What do we mean by **bias**?



- statistical bias: a model is biased if it doesn't summarize the data correctly
- societal bias: a dataset or a model is biased if it does not represent the world "correctly", e.g., data is not representative, there is measurement error, or the world is "incorrect"

the world as it is or as it should be?



"Biased data"



from "Prediction-Based Decisions and Fairness" by Mitchell, Potash and Barocas, 2018

when data is about people, bias can lead to discrimination



The evils of discrimination

Disparate treatment is the illegal practice of treating an entity differently based on a **protected characteristic** such as race, gender, age, religion, sexual orientation, or national origin.

Disparate impact is the result of systematic disparate treatment, where disproportionate **adverse impact** is observed on members of a **protected class**.



en-gb/Pages/Protected-characteristicsand-the-perception-reality-gap.aspx



fairness in classification

Vendors and outcomes

Consider a **vendor** assigning positive or negative **outcomes** to individuals.

Positive Outcomes	Negative Outcomes
offered employment	denied employment
accepted to school	rejected from school
offered a loan	denied a loan
offered a discount	not offered a discount



Assigning outcomes to populations

Fairness is concerned with how outcomes are assigned to a population

positive outcomes



Julia Stoyanovich



Sub-populations may be treated differently

Sub-population: those with red hair (under the same assignment of outcomes)





Statistical parity

Statistical parity (a popular group fairness measure) demographics of the individuals receiving any outcome are the same as demographics of the underlying population



Redundant encoding

Now consider the assignments under both **hair color** (protected) and **hair length** (innocuous)



Blinding is not an excuse

Removing **hair color** from the vendor's assignment process does not prevent discrimination!



Assessing disparate impact

Discrimination is assessed by the **effect** on the protected subpopulation, not by the input or by the process that lead to the effect.

Redundant encoding

Let's replace hair color with **race** (protected), hair length with **zip code** (innocuous)

		zip	positive		
		10025	10027	outcomes	
	black	Ð		20% of black	
race	white		Θ	60% of white	



Julia Stoyanovich

Redlining

Redlining is the practice of arbitrarily denying or limiting financial services to specific neighborhoods, generally because its residents are people of color or are poor.

Philadelphia, 1936



Households and businesses in the red zones could not get mortgages or business loans.

wikipedia



Imposing statistical parity

May be contrary to the goals of the vendor

positive outcome: offered a loan



Impossible to predict loan payback accurately. Use past information, which may itself be biased.

Is statistical parity sufficient?

Statistical parity (a popular group fairness measure) demographics of the individuals receiving any outcome are the same as demographics of the underlying population



Two notions of fairness



equality (of opportunity) equity (equality of outcome)

two intrinsically different world views

Julia Stoyanovich



Ricci v. DeStefano (2009)

Supreme Court Finds Bias Against White Firefighters

By ADAM LIPTAK JUNE 29, 2009

The New York Times



Karen Lee Torre, left, a lawyer who represented the New Haven firefighters in their lawsuit, with her clients Monday at the federal courthouse in New Haven. Christopher Capozziello for The New York Times



Effect on sub-populations

Simpson's paradox

disparate impact at the full population level disappears or reverses when looking at sub-populations!

		grad schoo	positive			
	admitted denied			outcomes		
ler	F	1512	2809	35% of women		
genc	М	3715	4727	44% of men		

UC Berkeley 1973: it appears men were admitted at higher rate.



Effect on sub-populations

Simpson's paradox

disparate impact at the full population level disappears or reverses when looking at sub-populations!

Department	Ме	Men Women		favored group	population		
Department	Applicants	Admitted	Applicants	Admitted		0 5 0/	
Α	825	62%	108	82%	women	35% of womon	
В	560	63%	25	68%	women	OF WOMEN	
С	325	37%	593	34%	men		
D	417	33%	375	35%	women	4.40/	
E	191	28%	393	24%	men	44%	
F	373	6%	341	7%	women	ormen	

UC Berkeley 1973: women applied to more competitive departments, with low rates of admission among qualified applicants.

whole

A word of caution: Observational data

Correlation is not causation!

Cannot claim a causal relationship based on observational data alone. Need a story. More on this later.





Discrimination-aware data analysis

- Detecting discrimination
 - mining for discriminatory patterns in (input) data
 - verifying data-driven applications
- Preventing discrimination
 - data pre-processing
 - model post-processing
 - model regularization
 - data post-processing

[Ruggieri *et al.*; 2010] [Luong *et al.*; 2011] [Pedresci et al.; 2012] [Romei et al.; 2012] [Hajian & Domingo-Ferrer; 2013] [Mancuhan & Clifton; 2014] [Kamiran & Calders; 2009] [Kamishima et al.; 2011] [Mancuhan & Clifton; 2014] [Feldman *et al.*; 2015] [Dwork et al.; 2012] [Zemel et al.; 2013]

both rely on discrimination criteria

many more....





Discrimination criteria

[I. Zliobaite, Data Mining & Knowledge Discovery (2017)]

- Statistical tests check how likely the difference between groups is due to chance - is there discrimination?
- Absolute measures express the absolute difference between groups, quantifying the magnitude of discrimination
- Conditional measures express how much of the difference between groups cannot be explained by other attributes, while also quantifying the magnitude of discrimination
- Structural measures how wide-spread is discrimination? Measures the number of individuals impacted by direct discrimination.



Discrimination measures

[I. Zliobaite, Data Mining & Knowledge Discovery (2017)]

a proliferation of task-specific measures

Table III. Summary of absolute measures. Checkmark (\checkmark) indicates that it is directly applicable in a given machine learning setting. Tilde (\sim) indicates that a straightforward extension exists (for instance, measuring pairwise).

	Protected variable			Target variable		
Measure	Binary	Categoric	Numeric	Binary	Ordinal	Numeric
Mean difference	\checkmark	\sim		\checkmark		\checkmark
Normalized difference	\checkmark	\sim		\checkmark		
Area under curve	\checkmark	\sim		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Impact ratio	\checkmark	\sim		\checkmark		
Elift ratio	\checkmark	\sim		\checkmark		
Odds ratio	\checkmark	\sim		\checkmark		
Mutual information	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Balanced residuals	\checkmark	\sim		\sim	\checkmark	\checkmark
Correlation	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark

used for statistical parity:

% of + for protected class

% of + for population



Fairness through awareness

[C. Dwork, M. Hardt, T. Pitassi, O. Reingold, R. S. Zemel; ITCS 2012]

Fairness: Individuals who are **similar** for the purpose of classification task should be **treated similarly**.



 $M: X \rightarrow O$ is a **randomized mapping**: an individual is mapped to a distribution over outcomes

Julia Stoyanovich

Fairness through a Lipschitz mapping

[C. Dwork, M. Hardt, T. Pitassi, O. Reingold, R. S. Zemel; ITCS 2012]

Individuals who are **similar** for the purpose of classification task should be **treated similarly**.



M is a Lipschitz mapping if $\forall x, y \in X ||M(x), M(y)|| \le d(x, y)$

close individuals map to close distributions there always exists a Lipschitz mapping - which?

Julia Stoyanovich



Fairness through awareness

[C. Dwork, M. Hardt, T. Pitassi, O. Reingold, R. S. Zemel; ITCS 2012]





Objective of a data owner

[C. Dwork, M. Hardt, T. Pitassi, O. Reingold, R. S. Zemel; ITCS 2012]



Find a mapping from individuals to distributions over outcomes that minimizes expected loss, **subject to the Lipschitz condition**. Optimization problem: minimize an arbitrary loss function.



What about the vendor?

[C. Dwork, M. Hardt, T. Pitassi, O. Reingold, R. S. Zemel; ITCS 2012]

Vendors can efficiently maximize expected utility, subject to the Lipschitz condition



Computed with a linear program of size poly(|X|, |Y|)

the same mapping can be used by multiple vendors



Some philosophical background

[C. Calsamiglia; PhD thesis 2005]

 "Equality of opportunity defines an important welfare criterion in political philosophy and policy analysis.
 Philosophers define equality of opportunity as the requirement that an individual's well being be independent of his or her irrelevant characteristics. The difference among philosophers is mainly about which characteristics should be considered irrelevant.

Policymakers, however, are often called upon to address more specific questions: How should admissions policies be designed so as to provide equal opportunities for college? Or how should tax schemes be designed so as to equalize opportunities for income? These are called local distributive justice problems, because each policymaker is in charge of achieving equality of opportunity to a specific issue."



Fairness through awareness: summary

[C. Dwork, M. Hardt, T. Pitassi, O. Reingold, R. S. Zemel; ITCS 2012]

- An early work in this space, proposes a principled data pre-processing approach
- Stated as an individual fairness condition but also sometimes leads to group fairness
- Relies on an externally-supplied task-specific similarity metric magic!
- Is not formulated as a learning problem, does not generalize to unseen data



Learning fair representations

[R. S. Zemel, Y. Wu, K. Swersky, T. Pitassi, C. Dwork; ICML 2013]



 Idea: remove reliance on a "fair" similarity measure, instead learn representations of individuals, distances

Fairness and utility





The objective function

[R. S. Zemel, Y. Wu, K. Swersky, T. Pitassi, C. Dwork; *ICML 2013*] data owner vendor X^+ $f: Z \to Y$ $M: X \to Z$ Ζ Y $L = A_z \cdot L_z + A_x \cdot L_x + A_y \cdot L_y$ group individual tility fairness fairness $L_{z} = \sum_{k} |P_{k}^{+} - P_{k}^{-}| \qquad L_{x} = \sum_{n} (x_{n} - \hat{x_{n}})^{2}$ $L_{y} = \sum_{n} -y_{n} \log \hat{y_{n}} - (1 - y_{n}) \log(1 - \hat{y_{n}})$ $P_{k}^{+} = P(Z = k \mid x \in X^{+})$ $P_{k}^{-} = P(Z = k \mid x \in X^{-})$ does this make^{*n*} sense?



Learning fair representations: summary

[R. S. Zemel, Y. Wu, K. Swersky, T. Pitassi, C. Dwork; ICML 2013]

- A principled learning framework in the data pre-processing / classifier regularization category
- Evaluation of accuracy, discrimination (group fairness) and consistency (individual fairness), promising results on real datasets
- Not clear how to set *K*, so as to trade off accuracy / fairness
- The mapping is **task-specific**



On the (im)possibility of fairness

[S. Friedler, C. Scheidegger and S. Venkatasubramanian, arXiv:1609.07236v1 (2016)]

Goal: tease out the difference between *beliefs* and *mechanisms* that logically follow from those beliefs.

Main insight: To study algorithmic fairness is to study the interactions between different spaces that make up the decision pipeline for a task



Construct Space (CS) Observed Space (OS) Decision Space (DS)



Examples of features and outcomes

[S. Friedler, C. Scheidegger and S. Venkatasubramanian, arXiv:1609.07236v1 (2016)]

Construct Space	Observed Space	Decision Space
intelligence	SAT score	performance in
grit	high-school GPA	college
propensity to commit crime	family history	rooidiviom
risk-averseness	age	recidivism

define fairness through properties of mappings between CS, OS and DS



Fairness through mappings

[S. Friedler, C. Scheidegger and S. Venkatasubramanian, arXiv:1609.07236v1 (2016)]

Fairness: a mapping from CS to DS is $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ -fair if two objects that are no further than ε in CS map to objects that are no further than ε' in DS.

$$f: CS \to DS$$
 $d_{CS}(x, y) < \varepsilon \Rightarrow d_{DS}(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon'$



Julia Stoyanovich

A world view: What you see is what you get

[S. Friedler, C. Scheidegger and S. Venkatasubramanian, arXiv:1609.07236v1 (2016)]



What you see is what you get (**WYSIWYG**): there exists a mapping from **CS** to **OS** that has low distortion. That is, we believe that OS faithfully represents CS. **This is the individual fairness world view.**



A world view: Structural bias

[S. Friedler, C. Scheidegger and S. Venkatasubramanian, arXiv:1609.07236v1 (2016)]

Construct Space (**CS**) Observed Space (**OS**) Decision Space (**DS**)

We are all equal (WAE): the mapping from CS to OS introduces **structural bias** - there is a distortion that aligns with the group structure of CS. This is the group fairness world view.

Structural bias examples: SAT verbal questions function differently in the African-American and in the Caucasian subgroups in the US. Other examples?



Two notions of fairness



equality (of opportunity) equity (equality)

equity (equality of outcome)

two intrinsically different world views

Julia Stoyanovich



What's the right answer?

There is no single answer!

Need transparency and public debate

- Consider harms and benefits to different stakeholders
- Being transparent about which fairness criteria we use, how we trade them off
- Recall "Learning Fair Representations": a typical ML approach

$$L = A_z \cdot L_z + A_x \cdot L_x + A_y \cdot L_y$$

group individual
fairness fairness tairness tairness = ?



Fairness definitions as "trolley problems"





