

DS-GA 3001.009 Responsible Data Science Lab 2

Center for Data Science Udita Gupta | Tandon School of Engineering

NYU



Al Fairness 360



What is AI Fairness 360?

- Al Fairness 360 (AlF360) is a comprehensive open-source toolkit
 - >30 metrics: to check for unwanted bias in datasets and machine learning models
 - 10 state-of-the-art algorithms: to mitigate such bias
- Launched by IBM
- It's python package includes
 - Metrics for datasets and models to test for bias
 - Explanations of these metrics in TEXT and JSON
 - Algorithms to mitigate bias in datasets and models
 - Some standard example datasets

Fairness: Building and Deploying models



Fig 1. Discrimination Aware Classifier Build Process.¹



AIF360: Metrics, Algorithms



Fig 2. AIF360 Metrics and Algorithms.²

AIF360: Metrics, Algorithms, Explainers



Fig 3. AIF360 Metrics and Algorithms.²

🌵 NYU



AIF360 Algorithms

Pre-processing

- Disparate Impact Remover
- Learning Fair Representations
- Optimized Preprocessing
- Reweighing

In-processing

- Adversarial Debiasing
- ART Classifier
- Prejudice Remover

Post-processing

- Calibrated Equality of Odds
- Equality of Odds
- Reject Option Classification



- •Statistical Parity Difference
- •Equal Opportunity Difference
- •Average Odds Difference
- •Disparate Impact
- •Mean Difference
- •And many more..!



•Pre-processing technique^[3] •Groundwork:

•Quality of the classifier is measured by its accuracy and discrimination; the more accurate, the better, and the less discriminatory, the better.

•Let's restrict ourselves to one binary sensitive attribute S with domain $\{b,w\}$ and a binary classification problem with target attribute Class with domain $\{-, +\}$.

•"+" is the desirable class for the data subjects and the objects satisfying S = b and S = w represent, respectively, the deprived and the favored community.

•The discrimination of a classifier C is defined as

• $disc_{S=b} \coloneqq P(C(X) = + | X(S) = w) - P(C(X) = + | X(S) = b)$, where X is a random unlabeled data object.

•A discrimination larger than 0 reflects that a tuple for which S is w has a higher chance of being assigned the positive label by the classifier C than one where S is b.



The tuples in the training dataset are assigned weights.
By carefully choosing the weights, the training dataset can be made discrimination-free w.r.t. S without having to change any of the labels.

•For example, objects with X(S) = b and X(Class) = + will get higher weights than objects with X(S) = b and X(Class) = - and objects with X(S) = w and X(Class) = + will get lower weights than objects with X(S) = w and X(Class) = -.

•Idea behind weight calculation^[3]:

•If the dataset D is unbiased, i.e., S and Class are statistically independent, the expected probability $P_{exp}(S = b \land Class = +)$ would be:

• $P_{exp}(S = b \land Class = +) \coloneqq \frac{|\{X \in D \mid X(S) = b\}|}{|D|} \times \frac{|\{X \in D \mid X(Class) = +\}|}{|D|}$

•In reality, however, the observed probability in D,

•
$$P_{obs}(S = b \land Class = +) \coloneqq \frac{|\{X \in D \mid X(S) = b \land X(Class) = +\}|}{|D|}$$
 might be different.

•If the expected probability is higher than the observed probability value, it shows the bias toward class – for those objects X with X(S) = b.

•To compensate for the bias, we will assign lower weights to objects that have been deprived or favored.

•Every object X will be assigned weight:

 $\bullet W(X) \coloneqq \frac{P_{exp}(S = X(S) \land Class = X(Class))}{P_{obs}(S = X(S) \land Class = X(Class))}$

•i.e., the weight of an object will be the expected probability to see an instance with its sensitive attribute value and class given independence, divided by its observed probability.



Algorithm 3: *Reweighing*

Input: (D, S, Class)**Output:** Classifier learned on reweighed D 1: for $s \in \{b, w\}$ do 2: for $c \in \{-, +\}$ do Let $W(s, c) := \frac{|\{X \in D \mid X(S) = s\}| \times |\{X \in D \mid X(Class) = c\}|}{|D| \times |\{X \in D \mid X(Class) = c \text{ and } X(S) = s\}|}$ 3: end for 4: 5: end for 6: $D_W := \{\}$ 7: **for** X in D **do** 8: Add (X, W(X(S), X(Class))) to D_W 9: end for 10: Train a classifier C on training set D_W , taking onto account the weights 11: return Classifier C

Reweighing - Example

| Sex | Ethnicity | Highest degree | Job type | Class |
|-----|-----------|----------------|------------|-------|
| M | Native | H. school | Board | + |
| Μ | Native | Univ. | Board | + |
| Μ | Native | H. school | Board | + |
| Μ | Non-nat. | H. school | Healthcare | + |
| Μ | Non-nat. | Univ. | Healthcare | _ |
| F | Non-nat. | Univ. | Education | _ |
| F | Native | H. school | Education | _ |
| F | Native | None | Healthcare | + |
| F | Non-nat. | Univ. | Education | _ |
| F | Native | H. school | Board | + |
| | | | | |

Table 1. Example Dataset.³



•Consider the dataset in Table 1^[3].

•We will calculate the weights for each data object (aka tuple) according to it's S and class values.

•Let's calculate the weight for X(S) = f and X(Class) = +.

•We can see that 50% of the objects have X(S) = f and 60% of the objects have X(Class) = +•So, the expected probability:

•
$$P_{exp}(Sex = f \land X(Class) = +) = 0.5 \times 0.6 = 30\%$$

•But it's actual probability is 20%

•
$$W(X) = \frac{0.5 \times 0.6}{0.2} = 1.5$$

•Similarly, the weights of all other combinations are as follows:

$$W(X) := \begin{cases} 1.5 & \text{if } X(Sex) = f \text{ and } X(Class) = + \\ 0.67 & \text{if } X(Sex) = f \text{ and } X(Class) = - \\ 0.75 & \text{if } X(Sex) = m \text{ and } X(Class) = + \\ 2 & \text{if } X(Sex) = m \text{ and } X(Class) = - \end{cases}$$

Reweighing - Example

| Sex | Ethnicity | Highest degree | Job type | Cl. | Weight |
|-----|-----------|----------------|------------|-----|--------|
| М | Native | H. school | Board | + | 0.75 |
| Μ | Native | Univ. | Board | + | 0.75 |
| Μ | Native | H. school | Board | + | 0.75 |
| Μ | Non-nat. | H. school | Healthcare | + | 0.75 |
| Μ | Non-nat. | Univ. | Healthcare | _ | 2 |
| F | Non-nat. | Univ. | Education | _ | 0.67 |
| F | Native | H. school | Education | _ | 0.67 |
| F | Native | None | Healthcare | + | 1.5 |
| F | Non-nat. | Univ. | Education | _ | 0.67 |
| F | Native | H. school | Board | + | 1.5 |

Table 2. Example Dataset with weights.³



Useful Links

- •Git: https://github.com/IBM/AIF360
- •Toolkit Homepage: https://aif360.mybluemix.net/
- •Example Code Pattern: <u>https://github.com/IBM/ensure-loan-fairness-aif360</u>
- •API documentation:
- https://aif360.readthedocs.io/en/latest/modules/algorithms.html
- •AIF360 Overview Video:
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X1NsrcaRQTE
- •Reweighing paper:
- https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2Fs10115-011-0463-8.pdf



•[1] d'Alessandro B, O'Neil C, LaGatta T (2017) Conscientious classification: a data scientist's guide to discriminationaware classification. Big Data 5:2, 120–134, DOI: 10.1089/ big.2016.0048.

• [2] <u>http://cognitive-science.info/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/CSIG_krv-aif360-2018-09-20-1.pdf</u>

• [3] F. Kamiran and T. Calders, "Data Preprocessing Techniques for Classification without Discrimination," Knowledge and Information Systems, 2012.