Profiling and Particularity

Solon Barocas Microsoft Research and Cornell University

March 25, 2019

- Individual?
 - 'Sins of the father'
 - 'The company you keep'
- Volitional?
- Consensual?
- Logical relationship?

Sentencing, by the Numbers

By SONJA B. STARR AUG. 10, 2014

Oliver Munday

ANN ARBOR, Mich. — IN a recent letter to the United States Sentencing Commission, Attorney General Eric H. Holder Jr. sharply criticized the growing trend of <u>evidence-based sentencing</u>, in which courts use data-driven predictions of defendants' future crime risk to shape sentences. Mr. Holder is swimming against a powerful current. At least 20 states have implemented this practice, including some that require risk scores to be considered in every sentencing decision. Many more are considering it, as is Congress, in pending sentencingreform bills.

Risk-assessment advocates say it's a nobrainer: Who could oppose "smarter" sentencing? But Mr. Holder is right to pick this fight. As currently used, the practice is deeply unfair, and almost

certainly unconstitutional. It contravenes the principle that punishment should depend on what a defendant did, not on who he is or how much money he has.

Bearden v. Georgia

"lumping him together with other poor persons and thereby classifying him as dangerous ... would be little more than punishing a person for his poverty." Patents Application Grant

Authorization and authentication based on an individual's social network

US 9100400 B2

ABSTRACT

In particular embodiments, a method includes accessing a graph structure comprising a plurality of nodes and edges where each node represents a user, receiving a request to transmit content related to a first user to a second user, and prohibiting transmission of the content to the second user if the first user and the second user are connected in the graph structure through a series of edges and nodes that comprises an unauthorized node.

Publication number	US9100400 B2				
Publication type	Grant				
Application number	US 13/565,500				
Publication date	Aug 4, 2015				
Filing date	Aug 2, 2012				
Priority date ⑦	Jul 22, 2004				
Also published as	CN101036366A, 26 More »				
Inventors	Christopher Lunt				
Original Assignee	Facebook, Inc.				
Export Citation	BiBTeX, EndNote, RefMan				
Patent Citations (83), Non-I Classifications (24)	Patent Citations (18), Referenced by (8),				
External Links: USPTO, USPTO Assignment, Espacenet					

Find prior art

Discuss this patent

View PDF

IMAGES (9)

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention generally relates to authorizing activities and

authenticating individuals, and more specifically, to methods for authorizing information flow to an individual and authenticating an individual for access to

1. Field of the Invention

Patent Drawing	Patent Drawing	Patent Drawing	Patent Drawing	Patent Drawing	Patent Drawing	Patent Drawing	Patent Drawing	Patent	
DESCRIPTION				CLAIMS	CLAIMS (16)				
CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS			What is clair	What is claimed is:					
This application is a continuation under 35 U.S.C. §120 of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/897,766, filed Jul. 22, 2004.			1. A method	1. A method comprising:					

receiving, by one or more computing devices, a request to send to a first user content relating to a second user;

accessing, by the one or more computing devices, a graph structure comprising a plurality of nodes and a plurality of edges connecting the nodes, wherein:

each edge connects two nodes and represents a single degree of

Download PDF



BloombergMarkets V No Credit History? No Problem. Lenders Are Looking at Your Phone Data

No Credit History? No Problem. Lenders Are Looking at Your Phone Data

By **Olga Kharif** November 25, 2016, 5:00 AM EST

- → FICO, Equifax stike partnerships to expand access to loans
- → 'The way you use the phone is a proxy for the way you live'



Most Read

A 'Crazy' Stock Market Is Punishing Sellers

Q

- 2 Yellen Calls Inflation the 'Biggest Surprise' in the Economy
- 3 London House Prices Fall Most Since Financial Crisis
- A Storm Ophelia Kills 3 in Ireland's Worst Weather in Decades
- 5 Facebook Is Looking for Employees With National Security Clearances





"All data is credit data"







Tech

Home World U.S. Politics

Harvard Tops Ranking of Ivy League Schools





Business

Economy



Markets



Life & Arts

Opinion

The Race to Find Meatless Protein Products

Real Estate WSJ. Magazine





Subscribe Now | Sign In

Search Q

\$12 for 12 Weeks

JOURNAL REPORTS: LEADERSHIP

Big Data Uncovers Some Weird Correlations

There's a Link Between Sales and Phases of the Moon, Among Other Things

By Deborah Gage

Updated March 23, 2014 4:36 p.m. ET

Are sales deals affected by the cycles of the moon? Is it possible to determine credit risk by the way a person types?

JOURNAL REPORT

- Insights from **The Experts**
- Read more at WSJ.com/LeadershipReport

MORE IN UNLEASHING INNOVATION:

BIG DATA

- People Battle to Regain Online Privacy
- Companies Embrace Real-Time Marketing
- The Data Companies Wish They Had

Fast new data-crunching software combined with a flood of public and private data is allowing companies to test these and other seemingly far-fetched theories, asking questions that few people would have thought to ask before.

By combining human and artificial intelligence, they seek to uncover clever insights and make predictions that could give businesses an advantage in an increasingly competitive marketplace.

Recommended Videos

Jeffrey Katzenberg **Calls Bob Weinstein** 'Abusive'

2



- Top Women at Microsoft, JP Morgan Discuss **Gender Equality**
- Sheryl Sandberg on 3 Harvey Weinstein



What's Next For the Iran Nuclear Deal?



The Unnamed Podvideocast With Jason Gay and Dana White



Most Popular Articles

- Individual?
 - 'Sins of the father'
 - 'The company you keep'
- Volitional?
- Consensual?
- Logical relationship?

- Logical relationship?
 - Department of the Treasury "will conclude a variable [...] does not warrant further scrutiny if the variable is statistically related to loan performance, and has an understandable relationship to an individual applicant's creditworthiness."

- Individual?
 - 'Sins of the father'
 - 'The company you keep'

- Individual?
 - 'Sins of the father'
 - 'The company you keep'
 - 'Reference group'

The right to be treated as an individual

The right to be treated as an individual

...and not simply a member of a group

The right to be treated as an individual

...and not simply a member of a legally protected group

Profiling and particularity

- Deindividualization (Vedder)
- Statistical discrimination (Lippert-Rasmussen)
- Intuitive notion of fairness: everyone should be assessed on her or his individual characteristics and merits—in her or his particularity
- Complementary intuition: Individuals should not be assumed to possess the quality ascribed to the group to which he or she belongs—fit the profile

Quick sidebar

- Data mining
- Knowledge discovery in databases
- Big data
- Machine learning
- Artificial intelligence



The problem with profiling

- 'Non-distributive group profiles' (Vedder)
- 'Statistically sound but nonuniversal generalizations' (Schauer)

What does it mean to treat someone as an individual?

Sentencing, by the Numbers

By SONJA B. STARR AUG. 10, 2014

Oliver Munday

ANN ARBOR, Mich. — IN a recent letter to the United States Sentencing Commission, Attorney General Eric H. Holder Jr. sharply criticized the growing trend of <u>evidence-based sentencing</u>, in which courts use data-driven predictions of defendants' future crime risk to shape sentences. Mr. Holder is swimming against a powerful current. At least 20 states have implemented this practice, including some that require risk scores to be considered in every sentencing decision. Many more are considering it, as is Congress, in pending sentencingreform bills.

Risk-assessment advocates say it's a nobrainer: Who could oppose "smarter" sentencing? But Mr. Holder is right to pick this fight. As currently used, the practice is deeply unfair, and almost

certainly unconstitutional. It contravenes the principle that punishment should depend on what a defendant did, not on who he is or how much money he has.







Schauer's argument

 "[A]cknowledging the way in which seemingly direct observation involves a process of inference and generalization enables us to appreciate that even the processes that initially appear to us to be 'direct,' 'actual,' or individualized turn out to rely far more on generalizations from past experience than is often appreciated. Once we see that all evidence is in the final analysis probabilistic, the distinction between the probabilistic and the 'real,' 'direct,' or 'actual' emerges as even more of an anomaly [...] the cumulative set of inferences that produces a purportedly 'direct' conclusion or observation is nothing more than a collection of inferences drawn from generalizations known to be reliable" How can failing to treat someone as an individual be objectionable if it's impossible?

A matter of degree?

Coarse-grain

Fine-grain

A matter of degree?

Coarse-grain

Fine-grain

Race

Gender

Age

•••

Dog breed

Lippert-Rasmussen's argument

 "X treats Y as an individual if, and only if, X's treatment of Y is informed by all relevant information, statistical or non-statistical, reasonably available to X."

Lippert-Rasmussen's formulation

 "X treats Y as an individual if, and only if, X's treatment of Y is informed by all relevant information, statistical or non-statistical, *reasonably available* to X."

Lippert-Rasmussen's justification

 "But obtaining information is costly, so it is morally justified, all things considered, to treat people on the basis of statistical generalizations even though one knows that, in effect, this will mean that one will treat some people in ways, for better or worse, that they do not deserve to be treated"

My restatement of this formulation

"[T]he perceived legitimacy seems to depend on a number of factors:

 (1) whether the errors seem avoidable because (2) gaining access to
 additional or more granular data would be trivial or (3) would not
 involve costs that (4) outweigh the benefits."

What kinds of outcomes might a cost/benefit analysis permit?

Is a more fine-grain form of statistical discrimination always preferable?